## **Faculty of Science**


### Feedback Result Session-2018-19

A Feedback was taken on sample of (N=905) students. All students of Science Faculty appreciated the working style of teachers. Any students are dissatisfied. On different dimensions grades are as follows.

6	Course Coverage		Approximately 90% Course Covered	
5	Coaching Classes	No Pressure at all	070	
	Curricular Activity	D Grade	0%	
4		C Grade	09%-20%	
_		B Grade	18%-30%	
		A Grade	60%-74%	
3	Punctuality	D Grade	0%	
		C Grade	09%-15%	
2		B Grade	15%-24%	
		A Grade	62%-75%	
	Discipline	D Grade	0%	
Z		C Grade	07%-16%	
2		B Grade	18%-25%	
		A Grade	62%-73%	
		D Grade	0%	
1		C Grade	20%-30%	
1	Teaching Style	B Grade	20%-27%	
		A Grade	62%-75%	

Faculty Of Science

B.Sc. Bio Group	360
B.Sc. Math Group	330
M.Sc. (Math+Bio) Group	215
	905




## **Faculty of Arts**

# Feedback Result Session-2018-19

A Feedback was taken on sample of (N=300) students. All students of Arts Faculty appreciated the working style of teachers. Any students are dissatisfied. On different dimensions grades are as follows.

		A Grade	65%-75%
1	Teaching Style	B Grade	17%-26%
T		C Grade	10%-15%
		D Garde	0%
		A Grade	62%-74%
2	Discipling	B Grade	12%-24%
Z	Discipline	C Grade	07%-16%
	Punctuality	D Garde	0%
		A Grade	67%-72%
3		B Grade	12%-22%
3		C Grade	06%-15%
		D Garde	0%
		A Grade	65%-73%
Λ	Curricular Activity	B Grade	14%-25%
4	Curricular Activity	C Grade	06%-13%
		D Garde	0%
5	Coaching Classes	No Pressure at all	
6	Course Coverage	Approximately 90% Course Covered	

BA- I+II+III	205
MA-I+II	95
Total	300



## Faculty of Home Science


#### Feedback Result Session-2018-19

A Feedback was taken on sample of (N=60) students. All students of Home Science Faculty appreciated the working style of teachers. Any students are dissatisfied. On different dimensions grades are as follows.

Approximately 90% Course Covered	

Faculty of Home Science

**Total Sample** 




## Faculty of Agriculture

#### Feedback Result Session-2018-19

A Feedback was taken on sample of (N=600) students. All students of Agriculture Faculty appreciated the working style of teachers. Any students are dissatisfied. On different dimensions grades are as follows.

		A Grade	52%-73%	
1	Tagahing Stula	B Grade	19%-35%	
1	Teaching Style	C Grade	12%-19%	
		D Grade	0%	
		A Grade	60%-72%	
2	Discipline	B Grade	18%-29%	
2	Discipline	C Grade	12%-19%	
	Punctuality	D Grade	0%	
		A Grade	60%-71%	
3		B Grade	19%-27%	
5		C Grade	10%-17%	
	Curricular Activity	D Grade	0%	
		A Grade	64%-73%	
Л		B Grade	17%-23%	
4		C Grade	10%-20%	
		D Grade	0%	
5	Coaching Classes	No Pressure at all		
6	Course Coverage	Approximately 90% Course Co	Approximately 90% Course Covered	

Faculty of Agriculture Total Sample



## **Faculty of Commerce**


### Feedback Result Session-2018-19

A Feedback was taken on sample of (N=725) students. All students of Commerce Faculty appreciated the working style of teachers. Any students are dissatisfied. On different dimensions grades are as follows.

6 aculty of Commerce	Course Coverage	Approximately 90% Course Covered	
5	Coaching Classes	No Pressure at all	
		D Grade	0%
4	Curricular Activity	C Grade	10%-14%
4	Curricular Activity	B Grade	18%-21%
		A Grade	68%-70%
3	Punctuality	D Grade	0%
		C Grade	10%-11%
		B Grade	20%-22%
		A Grade	67%-70%
2		D Grade	0%
	Discipline	C Grade	10%-16%
n	Dissipling	B Grade	16%-21%
		A Grade	64%-71%
		D Grade	0%
1	Teaching Style	C Grade	09%-14%
1		B Grade	17%-22%
		A Grade	69%-72%

Faculty of Commerce

B.Com I+II+III	680
M.Com-I+II	75
Total	725




## Faculty of Education

### Feedback Result Session-2018-19

A Feedback was taken on sample of (N=65) students. All students of Education Faculty appreciated the working style of teachers. Any students are dissatisfied. On different dimensions grades are as follows.

		A Grade	69%-72%	
4	Tooching Style	B Grade	20%-22%	
1	Teaching Style	C Grade	10%-12%	
		D Grade	0%	
		A Grade	64%-71%	
r	Discipling	B Grade	16%-21%	
2	Discipline	C Grade	10%-16%	
	Punctuality	D Grade	0%	
		A Grade	67%-70%	
2		B Grade	21%-23%	
3		C Grade	10%-12%	
		D Grade	0%	
		A Grade	68%-70%	
Λ	Curricular Activity	B Grade	17%-22%	
4		C Grade	10%-12%	
		D Grade	0%	
5	Coaching Classes	No Pressure at all		
6	Course Coverage	Approximately 90% Course Co	Approximately 90% Course Covered	

Faculty of Education Total Sample




## Faculty of Law

## Feedback Result Session-2018-19

A Feedback was taken on sample of (N=350) students. All students of Law Faculty appreciated the working style of teachers. Any students are dissatisfied. On different dimensions grades are as follows.

		A Grade	63%-71%
1	Teaching Style	B Grade	16%-26%
1	reaching Style	C Grade	9%-16%
		DGrade	0%
		A Grade	64%-72%
2	Dissipling	B Grade	14%-24%
Z	Discipline	C Grade	15%-18%
	Punctuality	DGrade	0%
		A Grade	64%-71%
3		B Grade	19%-27%
5		C Grade	16%-18%
	Curricular Activity	DGrade	0%
		A Grade	62%-71%
Λ		B Grade	18%-23%
4		C Grade	10%-15%
		DGrade	0%
5	Coaching Classes	No Pressure at all	
6	Course Coverage	Approximately 90% Course Covered	

Faculty of Law Total Sample



## Feedback Result Session-2018-19

Office Report Total Sample : 812

		A%	B%	C%	D%
S. N.	Rating Point	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Unsatisfactory
1	а	33	32	23	12
2	b	42	25	18	15
3	С	42	30	18	10
4	d	22	35	30	13
5	е	42	20	23	15
6	f	34	21	27	18
7	50	27	28	25	20
8	h	30	28	18	24
9	i	34	28	22	16
10	j	27	33	25	15
11	k	18	25	38	19
12		32	23	25	20

